		8
		9
	1	C
	1	1
	1	2
3	1	3
ww.bylawsi.com	1	4
	1	5

7

1	Michael R. Bracamontes (SBN 242655)
	Ryan J. Vlasak (SBN 241581)
2	
	BRACAMONTES & VLASAK, P.C.
3	220 Montgomery Street, Suite 870
	San Francisco, ČA 94104
4	Phone: (415) 835-6777 Fax: (415) 835-6780
	Fax: (415) 835-6780
5 l	mbracamontes@bvlawsf.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Timothy Hoyt

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

TIMOTHY HOYT,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et

|al.,

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants.

CASE NO.: CV-10-1778-RS

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: TRIAL CONTINUANCE AND RE-OPENING OF DISCOVERY

ACTION FILED: April 26, 2010 **TRIAL DATE:** November 7, 2011

The parties, through their counsel, hereby stipulate and request that the Court continue the trial of this matter from ninety days from November 7, 2011 to February 6, 2012, or some date thereafter convenient to the Court. The parties request this continuance because on August 18, 2011 the parties became aware that Plaintiff inadvertently had not disclosed all of his prior medical treaters for the five years preceding the incident that gave rise to this lawsuit, despite the Court's June 1, 2011 order that he do so. In particular, the parties became aware that Plaintiff had received medical treatment at San Francisco General Hospital during the five years preceding the incident. Plaintiff disclosed San Francisco General Hospital – Urban Health Clinic but not San Francisco General Hospital proper.

The parties further stipulate and request that the Court amend its case management order to allow the reopening of fact discovery for sixty days for the purpose of permitting defendants to obtain

Plaintiff's treatment records from San Francisco General Hospital and conduct followup discovery related to the documents received from San Francisco General Hospital.

The parties further stipulate and request that the Court amend its case management order to allow expert discovery to continue for thirty days beyond the fact discovery cutoff as a result of the scheduling issues with the experts.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

SO STIPULATED:

Dated: 08/18/11 By: /s/ Michael Bracamontes

Michael Bracamontes, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 08/18/11 By: _/s/ Joshua White_

Joshua White, Esq.

Attorney for Defendants

*Pursuant to General Order 45, §X.B., the filer of this document attests that he has received the concurrence of this signatory to file this document.

ORDER

GOOD CAUSE appearing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that pursuant to the foregoing stipulation
the trial of this matter is continued to _January 23, 2012 at 9:00 a.m, the pretrial conference i
continued to January 12, 2012, at 10:00 a.m.
Fact discovery shall remain open for sixty days from the date of this order. Expert discovery shall
remain open for ninety days from the date of this order.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: 8/19/11
The section of the se

HON. RICHARD SEEBORG United States District Judge